



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/678,955	10/03/2003	Robert C. Handberg	117P1835US01	7289	
43896 75	590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
ECOLAB INC		CHIN, PAUL T			
MAIL STOP E EAGAN, MN	SC-F7, 655 LONE OAK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LAOAN, IMN	33121		3652		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/200	•	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/678,9		HANDBERG ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
	•	PAUL T.	CHIN	3652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no evolution of the control of the co	HIS COMMUNIC rent, however, may a re rill expire SIX (6) MONT Dication to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this (ANDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	3 October 200)3.		•			
2a)□	·	This action is r						
3) 🗌	, _							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		.•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
6) 🗌	<u>.</u>							
7)	_							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and	/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	ı□ objected to t	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is requi	ed if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been the had bee	en received. en received in Ap ents have been le 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Nationa	l Stage			
				·				
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date			formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/678,955

Art Unit: 3652

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a carrying device, classified in class 294, subclass 137.

Page 2

II. Claim 21, drawn to a method of moving a bag, classified in class 294, subclass 142.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different products such as a lifting handle or carrier, a bag handle.
- 3. If Group I is chosen, applicant is further required to elect one of the following species.

 This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - 1) the species of Figs. 1-3,
 - 2) the species of Figs. 4,
 - 3) the species of Figs. 5-6,
 - 4) the species of Figs. 7-8, and
 - 5) the species of Figs. 9-11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/678,955

Art Unit: 3652

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-6922. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

Art Unit: 3652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner

aulchi

Art Unit 3652